20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
7	EOD THE MODTHEDM DIG	TDICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. C 14-01781 WHA
12	v.	
13	1.41 ACRES OF LAND, more or less,	ORDER DENYING REQUEST OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
14	situated in the City of Alameda, Alameda County, State of California, and THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and	OF THE UNITED STATES FOR AN ADVISORY OPINION
15	through its Department of Parks and	
16	Recreation, and the EAST BAY REGIONAL PARK DISTRICT,	
17	Defendants.	
18	/	
10		

The United States has filed a "Motion for a Finding that the Conveyance of a Street Use and Parking Easement to Defendant State of California, its Successors and Assigns, is the Reasonably Necessary Substitute Facility" (Dkt. No. 126). A previous order denied a motion for summary judgment that only nominal compensation was due, as the United States failed to reserve parking rights to defendants in its condemnation of McKay Avenue (Dkt. No. 113). The United States has proposed to convey a non-exclusive easement to defendant the State of California, and its successors and assigns, for street purposes and for parking. The easement has not been executed or recorded.

With this motion, the United States seeks an advisory opinion that the grant of this easement is adequate compensation. Such an advisory opinion would be improper, and for that

1	r
2	1
3	
4	
5	
6	I
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

reason, the motion of the United States is **DENIED**. The portion of the hearing scheduled for **WEDNESDAY**, **SEPTEMBER 30 AT 2:00 P.M.** pertaining to this motion is hereby **VACATED**.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 28, 2015.

